UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/644,681 | 08/20/2003 | Regis W. Haid JR. | PC821.00/4906-014 | 6452 |
| 24112 7590 05/16/2007 COATS & BENNETT, PLLC EXAMINER | | | | IINER |
| 1400 Crescent (| Green, Suite 300 | | RAMANA, ANURADHA | |
| Cary, NC 27518 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3733 | |
| | | | | |
| | • | • | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/16/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
|---|--|--|----|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/644,681 | HAID ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | ., | | | |
| | Anu Ramana | 3733 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | •• | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1:704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F | ebruary 2007. | | | | | |
| ,— | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11,12,15-18 and 27-48 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 22,23,25 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-10,13,14 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>8/20/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | • | • | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | • | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [6] Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/644,681

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 7-10, 13-14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McGahan et al. (US 7,033,362).

McGahan et al. disclose a system to prepare an intervertebral space for an implant including: a docking ring or spacer 30 having a plurality of spikes 40; a guide tube or body 32; and a mount 8 sized to attach to the docking ring and extending below the distal side of the docking ring wherein a plurality of instruments are sized to mount on the spacer (Figs. 3-5d, col. 7, lines 20-67 and col. 8, lines 1-3).

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kulisch et al. (US 5,489,307).

Kulisch et al. disclose a device to prepare first and second vertebral members including: a drill tube or body 92; and a reamer end or spacer 130 with outwardly extending wings 131 that mount to the body and position the spacer within the tube (Fig. 31, col. 7, lines 22-33).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments submitted under "REMARKS" in the response filed on February 28, 2007 have been fully considered.

Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-10, 13-14 and 19 are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicants' arguments with respect to the rejections of claims 20 and 21 as being anticipated by Kulisch et al. are not persuasive because spacer 130 is clearly attached to tube 92 by means of hub 132 (see Figs. 31 and 34).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 22-23, 25 and 26 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3733

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR May 13, 2007

> Anu Ramana Patent Examiner Art Unit 3733

EDUA (100 C. ROBERT ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER